#### Llwybrau Teithio Llesol Posibl o'r Bont-faen i Ystradowen

#### Adroddiad ar yr Ymgynghoriad

#### Cyflwyniad

Cynhaliwyd ymgynghoriad ar gynigion Cyngor Bro Morgannwg i wella'r cysylltiad teithio llesol o'r Bont-faen i Ystradowen rhwng 28 mehefin a 20 Gorffennaf 2022. Gofynnwyd i'r cyhoedd ddewis pa un o'r tri llwybr posibl yr hoffent ei weld yn cael ei ddatblygu.

Nod y cynllun yw cynnig amgylchedd mwy diogel i gerddwyr ar briffyrdd a chreu cyfleoedd ar gyfer teithio llesol, yn benodol i ddefnyddwyr ffyrdd sy'n agored i niwed a disgyblion ysgolion uwchradd.

Cafwyd arian ar gyfer y cynllun hwn trwy Gronfa Teithio Llesol Graidd Llywodraeth Cymru. Yn rhan o broses wneud cais am arian Llywodraeth Cymru, ystyrir rhestr o opsiynau fel cam cyntaf y gwaith o ddatblygu cynllun ac ymgynghorir ar y rhestr honno.

Mae adroddiad ar wahân wedi ei lunio ar ddefnydd posib o welliannau trafnidiaeth gynaliadwy A106 ar gyfer yr ardal o dan ffordd osgoi'r Bont-faen. Bydd hwn yn cael ei gyhoeddi ar wefan y Cyngor.

#### Gweithgareddau Ymgynghori

Cynhaliwyd y gweithgareddau canlynol i hyrwyddo'r ymgynghoriad:

- Negeseuon ar y cyfryngau cymdeithasol
- Gwybodaeth ar wefan Teithio Llesol y Cyngor
- E-bost at bobl sydd wedi ymateb i ymgynghoriadau ar y Map Rhwydwaith Teithio Llesol (MRhTLI) yn y gorffennol
- Hysbysiadau safle yng nghyffiniau'r cynllun (gan gynnwys rhif ffôn i bobl ei ffonio i drafod y cynllun)
- E-bost at randdeiliaid ac ymgyngoreion statudol

Cynhaliwyd arolwg ar-lein i gofnodi ymatebion i'r ymgynghoriad. Roedd copïau papur o'r arolwg hefyd ar gael ar gais.

#### Canlyniadau'r Ymgynghoriad


Cynhaliwyd yr ymgynghoriad ar y llwybrau posibl ar y porthol Commonplace a ariennir Lywodraeth Cymru (<u>https://ystradowentocowbridgeat.commonplace.is/cy-GB</u>).

Ymwelodd 1773 o bobl â thudalen we'r ymgynghoriad.


Ymatebodd 218 o ddefnyddwyr unigryw i'r arolwg a gwnaed 898 o gyfraniadau. Cadarnhaodd 173 o'r ymatebwyr eu cyfeiriad e-bost. Ni chadarnhaodd 44 o'r ymatebwyr eu cyfeiriad e-bost.

Anfonwyd 7 e-bost pellach hefyd ynghylch y cynllun i'r cyfeiriad e-bost activetravel@valeofglamorgan.gov.uk a roddwyd ar bosteri ac ar y wefan.

Dewisodd 78 o unigolion eu llwybr dewisol. Dewisodd 7 (9%) lwybr A, dewisodd 70 (90%) lwybr B a dewisodd 1 (1%) lwybr C.




#### Llwybr A




#### Sylwadau yn yr Ymgynghoriad

Y cwestiwn cyntaf a ofynnwyd oedd '**Beth yw eich barn ar y llwybr arfaethedig** hwn?'

Dywedodd 53% eu bod yn gadarnhaol iawn, yn gadarnhaol gan fwyaf neu'n niwtral gyda'r llwybr arfaethedig hwn:



#### Pam ydych chi'n teimlo fel hyn?




- Roedd 44 yn meddwl nad oedd yn teimlo'n ddiogel.
- Dywedodd 22 'na fyddant yn beicio/cerdded/teithio ar olwynion yma'.
- Hoffai 19 o'r ymatebwyr 'feicio/cerdded/teithio ar olwynion yma'.

Gellir gweld rhestr lawn o'r sylwadau ychwanegol yn Atodiad A.


Themâu allweddol o sylwadau eraill:

- Ni fyddai'r llwybr yn teimlo'n ddiogel heb wahanu o draffig a heb hyn mae'n annhebygol y bydd defnyddwyr ffordd sy'n agored i niwed yn ei ddefnyddio.
- Llai o effaith amgylcheddol na llwybr B.
- Llwybr lleiaf drud.




## Sylwadau yn yr Ymgynghoriad

Y cwestiwn cyntaf a ofynnwyd oedd '**Beth yw eich barn ar y llwybr arfaethedig** hwn?'



Dywedodd 89% eu bod yn gadarnhaol iawn, yn gadarnhaol gan fwyaf neu'n niwtral gyda'r llwybr arfaethedig hwn:

#### Pam ydych chi'n teimlo fel hyn?




- Mae 67 o ymatebwyr yn credu y byddai opsiwn llwybr B yn 'wych i'r gymuned'.
- Dewisodd 72 y llwybr hwn gan ei fod yn 'llwybr di-draffig'.
- Hoffai 96 o'r ymatebwyr 'feicio/cerdded/teithio ar olwynion yma'.
- Mae 36 yn meddwl y byddai'n 'dda i ddefnyddwyr sy'n agored i niwed'

Gellir gweld rhestr lawn o'r sylwadau ychwanegol yn Atodiad B.

Y themâu allweddol o sylwadau eraill yw:


- Y llwybr hwn fyddai'r opsiwn mwyaf diogel.
- Pryder am aflonyddwch i'r amrywiaeth bresennol.
- Byddai'n cael ei ddefnyddio mwy na'r opsiynau eraill.
- Yr opsiwn gorau i gadw defnyddwyr sy'n agored i niwed, yn enwedig plant, i ffwrdd o'r ffordd a thraffig.
- Byddai angen goleuadau.




# Sylwadau yn yr Ymgynghoriad

Y cwestiwn cyntaf a ofynnwyd oedd '**Beth yw eich barn ar y llwybr arfaethedig** hwn?'

Dywedodd 77% eu bod yn negyddol iawn, yn negyddol gan fwyaf neu'n niwtral gyda'r llwybr arfaethedig hwn:



## Pam ydych chi'n teimlo fel hyn?



- Roedd 23 o'r ymatebwyr yn teimlo 'nad yw'n llwybr uniongyrchol'.
- Atebodd 20 nad yw'n teimlo'n ddiogel.
- Dywedodd 13 'na fyddant yn beicio/cerdded/teithio ar olwynion yma'.


Gellir gweld rhestr lawn o'r sylwadau yn Atodiad C.

Y themâu allweddol o'r sylwadau a ychwanegwyd oedd:

- Serth/bryniog iawn.
- Ddim yn meddwl y bydd yn cael ei ddefnyddio gan blant ysgol.
- Opsiwn llwybr hirach na'r lleill.
- Mae llwybr eisoes yn bodoli fel llwybr cerdded ac mae'n rhy gul.

Gofynnodd y teil olaf i'r ymatebwyr ddewis eu llwybr dewisol o'r tri a gyflwynwyd.





Dewisodd 7 llwybr A, dewisodd 70 llwybr B ac 1 llwybr C.


# Beth yw'r rhwystrau presennol i Deithio Llesol yn yr ardal?

Ceir rhestr lawn o'r sylwadau yn Atodiad D.


Y prif themâu sy'n codi yw:

- Lefel y traffig yn yr ardal.
- Cyflymder cerbydau ar y priffyrdd presennol.
- Diffyg seilwaith beicio a cherdded ar wahân.
- Tirwedd.

A ydych chi'n credu bod y cynnig i ddatblygu llwybr Teithio Llesol yn yr ardal hon yn un cadarnhaol?



Ymateb 86% o'r ymatebwyr oedd cadarnhaol iawn i niwtral (5 yn gadarnhaol ac 1 yn negyddol)



#### Lefelau cerdded neu feicio presennol yr ymatebwyr:

Roedd y cwestiwn olaf yn gofyn am sylwadau neu awgrymiadau eraill

Ceir rhestr lawn o'r sylwadau yn Atodiad E, ond y themâu allweddol oedd:

- Pryder ynghylch dileu cludiant am ddim i'r ysgol i ddisgyblion sy'n byw yn Ystradowen sy'n mynychu Ysgol Gyfun y Bont-faen.
- Yr angen i fynd i'r afael â'r holl draffig a chyflymder ar hyd y llwybr.
- Cefnogaeth i'r cynllun yn gyffredinol a gobaith y caiff ei gyflawni.

E-bostiwyd yr ymatebion canlynol i'r Cyngor (ac fe'u nodir fel y cawson nhw eu derbyn):

1. Please do no forget the horse riders in your list, thank you.

**Council response to number 2 -** The consultation was shared with the British Horse Riding Society for comment as a stakeholder. Any comments regarding horses will be considered.

2. Good afternoon.

Whilst I agree that the route should be improved, as a parent I am concerned about the implication a preferred route would have on the provision of free school transport from Ystradowen to Cowbridge. I would require confirmation that this is to remain.

In my view (having actively walked all routes), none of the proposed routes suggest a safe walking route for young children, especially in the winter months. If the proposal removes the provision of this free transport, you would be not be achieving the wider issue of active travel and reduced carbon emissions as more cars would take to the road for the school run.

Please could someone provide clarity on this matter?

 I am a resident of Ystredowen and speak on behalf of many residents who have concerns over pathway improvements with regards to the school bus that it provided to this village and surrounding ones.
 I think it would be useful if someone from your team could make it clear to us what the impacts of these changes could have on the school bus service.
 I look forward to hearing from you.

#### The Active Travel Officer replied to emails 2 and 3 above with the following:

The decision on whether free school transport would continue to be provided to pupils living in Ystradowen would be a matter for the school transport department, who I have copied into this email for information.

However having previously worked in school transport team I know that the reason the S31 is currently provided is because there is not an available walking route, due to the lack of footway in Maendy and not because the distance is over 3 miles. The purpose of improving active travel links in this area is to provide a safer walking and cycling route connecting Cowbridge to Aberthin, Maendy, Ystradowen and to the RCT border.

If a route reaches construction phase the school transport department would measure the route and undertake the necessary risk assessment before making a decision on whether school transport would be removed. There was a similar situation in Eglwys Brewis a couple of years ago after the Northern Access Road was constructed that provided an available route for pupils previously eligible for a free bus pass.

4. Disappointed that the routes seem to involve a busy road We have so many excellent footpaths but they need upgrading and made accessible. Eg by the installation of kissing gates rather than stiles. I don't understand why lighting is needed

Please consider improving footpaths and access to footpaths in Llansannor and Penllyn

**Council response to number 4** – there were several options, one of which was completely offline and ran along the old railway track. Any active travel route that would be built would have minimal barriers along it. Lighting of an active travel route allows it to be used during the winter months. Routes to Llansannor and Penllyn are not currently on the Active Travel Network Map but could be considered in the future.

5. Why are the many horse riders in the area excluded from plans when there are so few alternatives to the dangers of riding on roads in the area?

**Council response to number 5 -** The consultation was shared with the British Horse Riding Society for comment as a stakeholder. Any comments regarding horses will be considered.

Cowbridge with Llanblethian Town Council submitted their response. There was a response regarding the area under the bypass that has been removed from this report, but included in the S106 report:

6. At the Cowbridge with Llanblethian Town Council meeting on 19 July 2022 the following responses to the Active Travel & S106 Consultation were agreed:

#### Route from Cowbridge to Ystradowen

"Cowbridge with Llanblethian Town Council favours option B for the active travel route from Cowbridge to Ystradowen. This is clearly the most popular with respondents and making use of the old railway line for a cycle and walking route is an excellent idea."

I have also submitted these responses online.

I and the Town Council as a whole will be very interested to see the results of the consultation.

#### Council response – this response was noted.

7. South Wales Police submitted their response. Again, the response regarding the area under the bypass has been removed and included in the S106 report. The second part of the proposal is to install a cycle path from this area to Ystradowen using the old railway, this would be a good idea to improve road safety.

#### Council response – this response was noted.

#### **Argymhelliad**

Bydd y Cyngor yn gwneud gwaith i lunio dyluniad cysyniad o lwybr teithio llesol yn unol â'r cynnig a restrir fel Llwybr B.

Ar ôl ystyried y sylwadau a gafwyd drwy'r ymgynghoriad hwn, bydd y Cyngor yn sicrhau bod cysylltiad llwybr ag Aberthin.

Caiff hyn ei ariannu'n llawn gan grant Teithio Llesol Craidd Llywodraeth Cymru 2022/23, sydd wedi ei ddyfarnu.

# Atodiad A – sylwadau wedi'u cynnwys gydag Opsiwn Llwybr A (wedi'u cynnwys fel y cawsant eu derbyn)

|   | Comment received  | Council response   |
|---|---|--|
| 1 | <ul> <li>The reduction of speed limit to 20mph at<br/>Meandy is not sensible for that road and is<br/>unlikely to be followed by road users. Section 3<br/>is sensible. Compulsory removal of large<br/>vehicles from this road would be more<br/>beneficial and more likely to encourage<br/>cyclists. Purchase of land is essential for this<br/>proposal to be anything but a flag waving<br/>exercise.</li> <li>As a cyclist may I point out that the lanes<br/>running parallel to this road are currently used<br/>and widening these would be more sensible.</li> </ul>        | Noted.<br>Comments will be considered if route<br>is preferred option.                     |
| 2 | The road is too dangerous for children to safely walk or cycle.   | Noted. This scheme would provide safe walking and cycling routes for everyone in the area. |
| 3 | We urgently need a connection of footpath<br>opposite Sandy Lane turning and have done<br>so for years! I fear further speed restrictions<br>will make a mockery of the project! We have so<br>many beyween Cowbridge and Ystradowen<br>now! Cowbridge comp should be 20mph when<br>hazard warning flash ( school times) Aberthin<br>return to 30 because of a self policing bend.<br>Then 40 until Maendy, where it should be 20<br>then 40 until Ystradowen where there should<br>be a 30 mph camera!   | Noted.<br>Comments will be considered if route<br>is preferred option.                     |
| 4 | Removing 2km of hedgerow in a nature<br>emergency will have a big impact on the<br>environment. Many nesting birds (and even<br>buzzards and red kites) nest and feed in this<br>area. I am all for active travel, but believe this<br>should be enhanced footpath/bridleway that is<br>currebtly there, and make key sections<br>wheelchair friendly to Aberthin, andable to use<br>bike but not directly beside the main road. My<br>son will be walking to school from cpwbridge<br>so understand the need but this is a poor<br>option that will impact wildlife and not be safe. | Noted.<br>Comments will be considered if route<br>is preferred option.                     |
| 5 | Very bad idea. Routes along main highways<br>are not safe for Children and will not be used.<br>There is significant evidence that separating<br>traffic from pedestrians / cyclists results in<br>more sustainable use   | Noted.<br>Comments will be considered if route<br>is preferred option.                     |

| 6  | Too much disturbance to the road, already has<br>enough differing speed limits. Don't want<br>another 20mph limit put it. Active travel would<br>be next to road. The railway path is by far the<br>most appealing and pleasant option for all Inc<br>road users who will not be able to transfer to  | Noted.<br>Comments will be considered if route<br>is preferred option.  |
|----|---|---|
|    | walking /cycling.   |   |
| 7  | Not safe or good As a family route  | Noted. This comment will form part<br>of the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage. |
| 8  | I might walk it.  | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage. |
| 9  | As a regular walker on this route, even early in<br>the morning the road users do not keep to the<br>speed limits. The road is currently not wide<br>enough the the size of HGV vehicles using it. I<br>would consider it a dangerous route with your<br>proposals and would not be content with the<br>suggestion it is an â€~active travel route' to<br>Cowbridge Comprehensive School. | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage. |
| 10 | Narrowing carriageway could cause collisions<br>between motorists endangering people on the<br>path.  | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage. |
| 11 | As a daily walker this is the most direct route.<br>The least amount of land needs to be<br>purchased.  | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage. |
| 12 | While possibly the cheaper option it doesn't keep cyclists and pedestrians far enough away from the traffic. Maybe other traffic calming features eg Road barriers would help   | Noted. Should this route be developed then consideration would be given to traffic calming measures.                          |
| 13 | Will need speed restrictions and/or traffic calming measures along A4222 north of Aberthin to provide a safe corridor.  | Noted. Should this route be<br>developed then consideration would<br>be given to reducing traffic speed<br>limits.            |
| 14 | Use the old railway line as a cycle path from talygarn quarry all the way through to Cowbridge  | This option has been presented as part of this consultation.  |
| 15 | Unless speed cameras are installed along the<br>length of the route from Ystradowen to<br>Cowbridge, people will continue to speed along<br>this route. The route is far too narrow in places,<br>it is too close to a lot of traffic and would not<br>feel safe. I think this would cost a lot for very<br>little gain in terms of users.  | Noted.<br>Comments will be considered if route<br>is preferred option.  |

| 16 | This will not be a safe route for pedestrians<br>and cyclists without physical separation from<br>traffic. The road is busy and certainly not safe<br>for unaccompanied children. Having walked it<br>on one occasion it is not an experience to be<br>repeated.   | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.  |
|----|--|--|
| 17 | Would need to provide physical separation of<br>vehicles from pedestrians to be a safe route.<br>Certainly not safe for unaccompanied children.<br>May be feasible with 20mph speed limit end to<br>end with rigorous enforcement.   | Noted.<br>Comments will be considered if route<br>is preferred option.   |
| 18 | Nice to have a direct walking link between<br>each of the villages and Cowbridge. It appears<br>that this option would have far less<br>environmental impact and potential cost than<br>option B.  | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.  |
| 19 | Would need speed reduction measures so that it would feel safer  | Noted.<br>Speed measures were noted to be<br>considered if this route option is taken<br>forward.  |
| 20 | This still puts pedestrians and cyclists at risk,<br>traffic WILL NOT adhere to 20mph limit (see<br>Aberthinâ€ <sup>~</sup> s recent 20mph experiment)   | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.  |
| 21 | Too similar to what's already there  | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.  |
| 22 | <ul> <li>Have both run and cycled regularly along this route.</li> <li>footpath is shocking, its uneven and often overgrown, plus as noted it is not continuous and in parts narrow. The traffic is travelling at +30mph in many places around the narrow area.</li> <li>Would need to resolve the very dangerous gap in the footpath at the bottom of watery lane, I have nearly been knocked over there whilst walking/running due to speed of cars/blind corner.</li> <li>Anything to improve the footpath alone would be welcome.</li> <li>Cycling the road is reasonable, although uneven road/bumps push you out into the middle of road. Just improving the road condition would make it easier for cyclists to travel more consistently on the road without having to more out to the middle.</li> </ul> | Noted. Thank you for your support.<br>An active travel route provides a safe<br>walking, cycling, wheeling route for all<br>users, including wheelchair users and<br>less confident cyclists. The current<br>conditions do not meet Active Travel<br>guidelines. |
| 23 | having to more out to the middle.<br>To encourage walkers on a busy main road<br>with school coaches is irresponsible.   | Noted. This comment will form part of the decision-making process on   |

|    |  | which option to take forward to the next stage.   |
|----|--|---|
| 24 | I frequently cycle and walk between Aberthin<br>and Cowbridge and would welcome a wider<br>footpath / cycle lane. The 3m wide route would<br>need to be white lined and marked so that<br>pedestrians and cyclists understand it is<br>shared. Lighting between the school and<br>Aberthin [maybe 2no additional columns]<br>would be beneficial as this is a dark section<br>which may put people off.  | Noted.<br>Comments will be considered if route<br>is preferred option.  |
| 25 | Utalises the infrastructure that is already in<br>place and seems like the most direct route.<br>Possibly the most cost effective option too with<br>the least<br>disruption to the environment.   | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage. |
| 26 | Keep walkers and cyclists away from roads<br>used by buses and other large transport<br>vehicles.  | Noted.<br>Comments will be considered if route is preferred option.   |
| 27 | My spouse and I regularly cycle from<br>Ystradowen to Cowbridge with our young kids<br>on the back of our bikes, to take them to/from<br>nursery school in Cowbridge. We do this<br>because as a family we want to make<br>environmentally conscious choices and<br>improve our health through active transport. In<br>terms of distance / exertion, this route is easy.<br>But the current road is so far from ideal.<br>Regularly on this route, motorists overtake us<br>too closely, or endanger us and other road<br>users by overtaking at inappropriate times. We<br>persevere with cycling at the moment as our<br>kids are still passengers on our bikes, but there<br>is no way we would do this route when our kids<br>are old enough to be on tag-along bikes, or to<br>cycle themselves. Particularly in adverse<br>weather conditions the route can feel<br>dangerous. Having a designated bike / walking<br>path such as this one would be a huge<br>improvement. It would make us feel much<br>safer as the danger from overtaking traffic<br>would be far reduced. Ideally I would prefer<br>route B, but route A would still be a vast<br>improvement over the current situation, if route<br>B proves too expensive. If possible, there<br>should be a physical barrier between the road<br>and the shared path | Noted.<br>Thank you for your support.<br>Comments will be considered if route<br>is preferred option.                         |
| 28 | The biggest issue facing the village<br>communities is the lack of pavement between<br>Maendy and Aberthin. Providing pavement for   | Noted.<br>By providing the missing piece of<br>footway in this area would not bring   |

|    | the 70 metres or so not currently provided<br>would make the single biggest improvement<br>and ought to be relatively cheap.   | the whole route up to active travel standards so this scheme would address this.   |
|----|--|--|
| 29 | There does not seem to be enough space for<br>the proposed plans to be implemented in a<br>way that is safe.   | Noted. The next stage of scheme development is to look at design and feasibility.  |
| 30 | Not enough room.   | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.  |
| 31 | Not a nice place for a family or children as near fast vehicles / traffic fumes / lots of hills  | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.  |
| 32 | Road is too narrow through Maendy and to<br>build any type of path would require land<br>purchase - the proposal of directing cyclists<br>onto the road through 20mph is not safe for<br>young children. The number of HGV's that<br>speed along this route is off putting - they will<br>not adhere to 20mph - they don't now through<br>Aberthin!  | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.  |
| 33 | The road is too narrow to have a cycle Lane. It doesn't feel safe.   | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.  |
| 34 | The road is too narrow for cars and cycling  | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.  |
| 35 | The road is too narrow for cycling and cars. I<br>think it will still be too dangerous for children to<br>cycle. Even with 30 mile speed limit, many cars<br>go faster.  | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.  |
| 36 | All plans unclear and confusing<br>Narrowing of carriageway unsuitable due to<br>usuage by large lorries and farm vehicles<br>What is meant by quiet lane through Maendy?<br>Removal of hedgerows should not happen it<br>would alter the character of the area and bad<br>for wildlife<br>What will happen in Aberthin on the sharp<br>bend by the pubs?<br>What is meant by a quiet road under the<br>bypass and behind the houses in Millfield<br>Drive?<br>The pavement from Cowbridge to Ystradowen<br>badly needs attention and a simpler idea would<br>be to improve the pavement between Aberthn | The three route options presented are<br>in the very early stages of concept<br>design.<br>The preferred route would be subject<br>to more detailed investigation and<br>further design work, which would<br>answer the questions posed. |

|    | and Maendy so the is continuous.<br>i cannot see serious cyclists using the<br>cycleway as they never do in other areas<br>How would cycling in both directions work<br>especially as path will be shared with<br>pedestrians<br>This scheme should be scrapped   |  |
|----|---|--|
| 37 | The current situation is dangerous. Any of the options would be good, but I feel that this is the most likely to be used and could be the cheapest option therefore likely to actually be done.   | Noted. Thank you for your support.   |
| 38 | <ol> <li>The clearance of 2km of hedges and walls would be an atrocious decision and be detrimental to wildlife and the rural nature of the area.</li> <li>The so called 'Quiet Road' from behind town hall car park to under the flyover is at present a footpath with narrow bridge over the Thaw so land would need to be acquired and the change would effect lives of occupiers of a number of houses.</li> <li>From the by pass to the A4222 the route is a track heavily used by school children and others on foot, cycle and car and parts of it would not be wide enough to allow for safe passage of pedestrians, cyclists and motor traffic in one direction let alone two.</li> <li>The A4222 is used by buses, lorries and agricutural vehicles and also as it is likely that most if not all serious road cyclists will not use the pathway the width of the road should not be reduced.</li> <li>Mention is made of the narrow section at Maendy but not in Aberthin by the Farmer's Arms where the pavement is even narrower and on a dangerous bend.</li> <li>Increased lighting along the route would be damaging to wildlife, create additional light pollution and the demand for electricity.</li> <li>At present the footway from Aberthin to Maendy moves from one side of the road to the other if this pattern is retained it will require more users to cross the road with the obvious risks this entails.</li> </ol> | Your objection to this route option<br>and the reasons for such, is noted.                                     |
| 39 | This is not a great option. Even with some kind<br>of separation this will be keeping Motor<br>vehicles and walkers/cyclists in close   | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the |
|    | proximity. This would be ok for experienced   | next stage.  |

|    | cyclists, but would deter new cyclists young<br>children etc. Other route along railway line<br>much better   |   |
|----|---|---|
| 40 | Im not sure people who commute on to other<br>places for work will use modified walking and<br>cycling routes during the week. Chopping<br>down hedges ruins character and is poor for<br>wildlife.Money much better spent on improving<br>the dire public transport to rural Vale.   | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage. |
| 41 | Road is too narrow to accommodate a cycle<br>path and if the fast cyclists don't use it, it will be<br>even harder and unsafe to pass than it<br>currently is.  | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage. |
| 42 | <ol> <li>The clearance of 2km of hedges and walls would be an atrocious decision and be detrimental to wildlife and the rural nature of the area.</li> <li>The so called 'Quiet Road' from behind town hall car park to under the flyover is at present a footpath with narrow bridge over the Thaw so land would need to be acquired and the change would effect lives of occupiers of a number of house.</li> <li>From the by pass to the A4222 the route is a track heavily used by school children and others on foot, cycle and car and parts of it would not be wide enough to allow for safe passage of pedestrians, cyclists and motor traffic in one direction let alone two.</li> <li>The A4222 is used by buses, lorries and agricutural vehicles and also as it is likely that most if not all serious road cyclists will not use the pathway the width of the road shoulkd not be reduced.</li> <li>Mention is made of the narrow section at Maindy but not in Aberthin by the Farmer's Arms where the pavement is even narrower and on a dangerous bend.</li> <li>increased lighting along the route would be damaging to wildlife, create additional light pollution and the demand for electricity.</li> <li>At present the footway moves from one side of the road to the other if this pattern is retained it will require more users to cross the road with the obvious risks this entails.</li> </ol> | Repeat of number 38.  |

# Atodiad B – sylwadau wedi'u cynnwys gydag Opsiwn Llwybr B (wedi'u cynnwys fel y cawsant eu derbyn)

|    | Comment received  | Council response  |
|----|---|---|
| 1  | Keeps walkers away from dangerous car drivers.  | Noted. A large section of this route  |
| -  | Particularly children to schools.   | would separate users from traffic.  |
| 2  | Takes walkers and cyclists off road which is safer.   | Noted. This comment will form part<br>of the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage. |
| 3  | I think these proposals are brilliant! There's a<br>huge need for it for families to use bikes safely (with<br>children), runners, walkers and school children<br>walking to and from the comprehensive without the<br>unsafe pavements (gaps in pavements) would be<br>over the moon if this went ahead  | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |
| 4  | This is the safest option. The disturbance to current<br>biodiversity would be more than compensated for<br>by gains in carbon saving overall and there is<br>always the potential for recreating even richer<br>habitats.  | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |
| 5  | A safe pathway needs to be taken away from the<br>busy road. Children with bikes could use this safely<br>without risk  | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |
| 6  | Safest option all round!!   | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |
| 7  | I'd like to see a provision for<br>protecting/replacing lost wildlife habitat should this<br>plan be used. It would need to be well lit to feel<br>safe.  | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this is the preferred route option.  |
| 8  | This would be a much more pleasant journey with<br>less traffic. I appreciate the potential loss of<br>biodiversity/habitat but considerate landscaping/tree<br>planting etc could help mitigate this.  | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this is the preferred route option.  |
| 9  | Would be happy for my children to use this route<br>and as it would be mostly traffic free, think it would<br>be well used and sustainable. Other options are not<br>fit for purpose  | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |
| 10 | I really think this is the best option to take forward.<br>There are have been many times where i have<br>walked from Aberthin to Ystradowen along the main<br>road, and via the lanes, where I have been worried<br>about the amount and speed of the traffic. I feel this<br>is the best way forward, it will be lovely to walk in an<br>area that is traffic free, i appreciate that the wildlife<br>may be affected but i am sure that with the right re-<br>planting of plants, hedges and trees they will return.<br>The only thing I would ask is that there are bins<br>along the routes, this is helpful for general litter as<br>well as dog poop bags. | Noted. Your comments will be<br>considered if this is the preferred<br>route option.  |

|     | <b>-</b> // / / / / / / /                               |                                      |
|-----|---|--------------------------------------|
| 11  | Traffic free, fume free, therefore safe from cars       | Noted. Thank you for your support.   |
|     | lorries etc. Certainly would be no pleasure in the      |                                      |
|     | other options with the volume of traffic on that road,  |                                      |
| 40  | and would discourage people from using.                 | Noted Themlesses for your owners     |
| 12  | This would turn into a lovely walk for all to enjoy not | Noted. Thank you for your support.   |
|     | just aid in active transport. Could be a lovely path    |                                      |
| 10  | for pushchairs / cyclists/ young and old.               |                                      |
| 13  | In Ystradowen the proposed route would run              | Noted. This comment will form part   |
|     | directly behind/to the side of many houses. The         | of the decision-making process on    |
|     | potential for noise, antisocial behaviour and general   | which option to take forward to the  |
|     | disruption to the residents is a massive concern.       | next stage. Any decision on school   |
|     | The effect that such a project would have               | transport would be taken if and      |
|     | environmentally is also a big concern, with the         | when an active travel route was      |
|     | removal of wildlife habitats, flora and fauna. A        | constructed.                         |
|     | further concern would be if any of the routes           |                                      |
|     | proposed were to replace the current Cowbridge          |                                      |
|     | Comprehensive bus service, this l'm sure,               |                                      |
|     | would lead to an increase of traffic on the roads.      |                                      |
| 14  | An excellent option that will proove very               | Noted. Thank you for your support.   |
|     | popular.Compare with Padstow to Bodmin, very            |                                      |
|     | well used for cycle and pedestrian users, both          |                                      |
|     | tourists and commuters.                                 |                                      |
| 15  | l'm concerned about loss of biodiversity and            | Noted. There would be ecology        |
|     | ancient hedgerows but seems a good option               | surveys undertaken if this is the    |
|     |   | preferred route option.              |
| 16  | A reasonable route for leisure purposes; but am         | Any decision on school transport     |
|     | concerned regarding safety of pupils †travelling        | would be taken if and when an        |
|     | actively' to Cowbridge Comprehensive School             | active travel route was constructed. |
|     | as defined as one of your objectives. Would this        |                                      |
|     | proposal, and/or the others remove the school bus       |                                      |
|     | provision that currently serves the children along      |                                      |
|     | this route?   | Natad Theorem 199                    |
| 17  | This route along the old railway line would create      | Noted. Thank you for your support.   |
|     | vastly more useage than along the road. On the          |                                      |
|     | proposed road route it is likely that people would      |                                      |
|     | only use it to commute, or go to/from school.           |                                      |
|     | Utilising the railway line would create a huge          |                                      |
|     | impetus for people to use as a recreation route. It     |                                      |
|     | could be used by cyclists dog walkers, runners. It      |                                      |
|     | would be a huge boost to increase activity in the       |                                      |
| 4.0 | community as well as reducing the use of vehicles       |                                      |
| 18  | A safe route is preferable especially to encourage      | Noted. Thank you for your support.   |
| 10  | families with yiunger children.                         |                                      |
| 19  | Best option for families with small and older           | Noted. Thank you for your support.   |
|     | children.   |                                      |
|     | It would not feel safe to let my older children cycle   |                                      |
|     | to school along the A road.                             |                                      |
| 20  | Good safe option but may be expensive.                  | Noted. This comment will form part   |
| 1   |   | of the decision-making process on    |

|    |  | which option to take forward to the next stage.  |
|----|--|--|
| 21 | This is my favoured option though perhaps the most expensive   | Noted. Thank you for your support.   |
| 22 | Great idea. Like many other disused railway lines it would make a nice traffic free path for walkers and cyclists.   | Noted. Thank you for your support.   |
| 23 | Often use the old railway line to walk between<br>Aberthin to Cowbridge so extending this route to<br>Ystradowen would be beneficial. There is no way<br>we would even walk to Maendy along the A4222  | Noted. Thank you for your support.   |
| 24 | There is no way we would walk even to Mandy from<br>Aberthin it is not safe to do so   | Noted. This comment will form part<br>of the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.  |
| 25 | One of the reasons we chose to move to our house<br>was it's quiet setting and that there were fields to<br>the side of the house. This option would mean a<br>path being built next to our house, impacting our<br>privacy and increasing noise, and this would be the<br>same for a large number of houses in the village.   | Noted. Landowners would be<br>engaged at the earliest opportunity if<br>this is the preferred route option.  |
| 26 | If this route were to go ahead, I am sure we would<br>want some guarantees that it would not open the<br>way for the surrounding greenbelt land to be built<br>on.<br>Could the potential loss of habitat created during<br>construction not be mitigated by planting along the<br>new path?   | Noted. Thank you for your support.<br>This is currently being treated as a<br>standalone active travel scheme<br>and should this route be taken<br>forward to the next stage of design,<br>ecology surveys would be<br>undertaken. |
|    | I think this is by far the safest option and I would<br>definitely use it for cycling into Cowbridge rather<br>than using the car. I am a nervous cyclist and find<br>the current route terrifying. A relatively flat, traffic-<br>free route would definitely encourage more walkers<br>and cyclists, including children getting to / from<br>school. However, the bus should always remain an<br>option.<br>I often walk from Trerhyngyll/Ystradowen to<br>Cowbridge but am not comfortable doing so owing<br>to the narrowness of the pavements in places. The<br>new speed limits have helped, but cars still regularly<br>speed through Aberthin and along the main road. |  |
| 07 | I have long said that this would be a great option for<br>the area and would welcome using it in future.   |  |
| 27 | This sounds like an opprtunity for footpath but not<br>appropriate to bring down mature trees and natural<br>habitats. This will remain a busy and dangerous<br>stretch of road and i wouldn't want to cycle it  | Option B utilises the old railway line<br>and would provide a walking and<br>cycling path away from traffic.<br>Ecology surveys would be   |

|    |  | underteken et the next stars of      |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|
|    |  | undertaken at the next stage of      |
|    |  | design.                              |
|    |  |                                      |
| 28 | Great for the kids to be properly away from the road   | Noted. Thanks for your support.      |
| 29 | The map for this route is labelled Cross Section 02  | The route proposed is an active      |
|    | along the route marked in green which is defined as  | travel route for pedestrians and     |
|    | Quiet Lane although the route is described as  | cyclists.                            |
|    | 'provision of cycle and pedestrian provision via   |                                      |
|    | disused railway'. Which is it? Is the proposal for a   |                                      |
|    | Quiet Lane with vehicular access or an Active  |                                      |
| 20 | Travel line for pedestrians and cyclists?  | Natad Vaur correspondents will be    |
| 30 | To create a route for pedestrians and cyclists along   | Noted. Your comments will be         |
|    | the old railway line is something which should have  | considered if this is the preferred  |
|    | been done years ago. It would provide a direct,  | route option.                        |
|    | predominantly level surface accessible to all, safely<br>away from traffic and passing through open fields |                                      |
|    | encouraging both commuting and amenity journeys.   |                                      |
|    | The downside would be the introduction of lighting   |                                      |
|    | into the rural environment though this could be  |                                      |
|    | mitigated with considerate environmental planning.   |                                      |
| 31 | In theory it would be lovely to be able to walk the  | Noted. Your comments will be         |
| •  | old railway route to Cowbridge and to some extent  | considered if this is the preferred  |
|    | I'm in favour of this proposal. However, I have a  | route option and taken to the next   |
|    | number of concerns about using the section within  | stage of design.                     |
|    | Ystradowen:  |                                      |
|    |  | Your comment regarding how the       |
|    | - Huge amounts of wildlife in the trees and wooded   | route enters Ystradowen will be put  |
|    | areas - woodpeckers, hedgehogs particularly  | to the design team for consideration |
|    | - Risk of anti-social behaviour and easier trespass /  | should this route be taken forward.  |
|    | burglary access to people's back gardens if there is   |                                      |
|    | a path behind the houses, particularly where the   |                                      |
|    | railway is at the same level as the houses.  |                                      |
|    | - I'm sorry to raise it, but adverse Impact on the   |                                      |
|    | value of houses that back onto the route.  |                                      |
|    | - Much of the railway route in the cutting is  |                                      |
|    | permanently under water. It would require drainage work in addition to the purchase of the land.           |                                      |
|    | - Presumably additional lighting would be needed,  |                                      |
|    | where currently it's dark at night.  |                                      |
|    | where ourrently it's dark at hight.  |                                      |
|    | Could you consider maybe starting to use the   |                                      |
|    | railway route as it leaves Ystradowen at the   |                                      |
|    | Cowbridge end? There is pavement through   |                                      |
|    | Ystradowen and this would reduce the cost of   |                                      |
|    | purchasing the land within Ystradowen and sorting  |                                      |
|    | out the drainage in the cutting. It would also avoid   |                                      |
|    | people walking / cycling along the back of the   |                                      |
|    | houses.  |                                      |
| 32 | Fantastic safe route to school and community use   | Noted. Thank you for your support.   |

| 33 | The main bonus with this route is that it is largely<br>traffic free and would make a pleasant and safe way<br>to cycle to Cowbridge. It would need good lighting<br>to be safe in the winter when the evenings draw in.  | Noted. Your comments will be<br>considered if this is the preferred<br>route option.  |
|----|---|---|
| 34 | This segregates from vehicular traffic but remains<br>direct, which makes it the most attractive option for<br>people that don't usually use active travel. It is also<br>the safest, so most beneficial for vulnerable users.  | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |
| 35 | Level and easy to use   | Noted. This comment will form part<br>of the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.   |
| 36 | Best option - plus re-use a disused piece of land which is clearly overgrown and neglected.   | Noted. This comment will form part<br>of the decision-making process on<br>which option to take forward to the<br>next stage.   |
| 37 | Before it became over grown people used to walk<br>onit . It was good enough then so why not now  | Active Travel routes should meet<br>Welsh Government guidelines that<br>govern width, usage etc.  |
| 38 | The majority of the length is away from existing<br>roads. It makes far more sense environmentally,<br>Heath wise, safety wise, to use an existing rural<br>structure.  | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |
| 39 | Using existing railway corridors and waggon ways is<br>an excellent way of providing safe cycle routes.<br>Whilst this would have an impact of the vegetation<br>that has grown on the route, it would be a much<br>safer route and would encourage families to cycle in<br>to town.  | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |
| 40 | Eventhough a safer option as no motorists and<br>possibly the most scenic route, it could have a big<br>(negative) impact on the environment and will no<br>doubt be very costly with land purchases - if<br>landowners in agreement.   | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this is the preferred route option.  |
| 41 | Makes use of old railway line. Walkers and cyclists<br>do not upset the environment. Ideal for families.<br>Best solution all round   | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |
| 42 | If we are serious about reducing car journeys in the<br>Vale of Glamorgan and switching to Active Travel,<br>then we need to be bold, executing projects such as<br>this one. In terms of distance and gradient, it is an<br>easy cycle from Ystradowen to Cowbridge, but the<br>current road is too dangerous. With a beautiful, off-<br>road route such as this one, many of the next<br>generation would be brought up cycling: cutting<br>emissions, improving their mental and physical<br>health. They could access: the 3 nursery schools in<br>Cowbridge, the two primary schools (plus the new<br>one that is under construction on the site of the<br>comprehensive) and the comprehensive school, by | Noted. Thank you for your support.<br>There may be opportunities for you<br>to involved in the future. Please<br>keep an eye on the scheme<br>development:<br><u>https://www.valeofglamorgan.gov.uk</u><br>/en/living/transportation/Active-<br><u>Travel-and-Safe-Routes-in-</u><br><u>Communities-Projects.aspx</u> |

|    | bike. For shopping and leisure, bikes could be the<br>new default over cars. Like other posters, I would<br>be delighted if it went ahead, it would be<br>transformative for the area. I would also be<br>prepared to volunteer!  |   |
|----|---|---|
| 43 | Does not link Aberthin to other village communities.<br>Likely to be quite expensive and not necessarily<br>best environmental option.  | Noted. Your comments will be<br>considered if this is the preferred<br>route option. If this is taken to the<br>next stage environmental surveys<br>will be undertaken. |
| 44 | the underpass on sandy lane of the old railway<br>should be reinstated (not on your map was filled in<br>) The whole area of there humpbacked bridge on<br>entry to village where railway used to go under also<br>filled in should be rethought (either re excavate or<br>eliminate bridge and put lights /  | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this is the preferred route option.  |
| 45 | Great for children to use to cycle to school - away<br>from traffic fumes. Great for them to safely meet<br>their friends in neighbouring villages . Lovely<br>surrounding countryside for family outings   | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |
| 46 | mitigation for any loss of biodiversity   | Noted. Your comments will be<br>considered if this is the preferred<br>route option. If this is taken forward<br>then environmental surveys will be<br>undertaken.      |
| 47 | Having a route away from traffic fumes and speed is<br>exactly the type of infrastructure that will be used by<br>many.<br>Ignore the comments about school pupils not being<br>entitled to a free bus to Cowbridge Comp - by doing<br>this they could cycle quicker than it would take their<br>parents to do a return journey - kids are so entitled<br>these days!<br>Please do all you can to implement this route - this<br>has such great potential to get through to RCT and<br>should be the ambitious plans that the Council and<br>Welsh Government should be looking at - I hope the<br>process is not too lengthy and ends up in the too<br>difficult pile! | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |
| 48 | Great idea need to just link with Aberthin and is best solution   | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this is the preferred route option.  |
| 49 | Love this route. I will definitely use this with my<br>young children for family cycles and they can cycle<br>to the comp when older.   | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |
| 50 | This is by far the best proposal. It is safe for<br>children and nervous cyclists. It will be a good<br>recreational route as well as a direct route for<br>getting to and from communities. Separating the<br>cycle route may cost more but will be a better   | Noted. Thank you for your support.  |

| ·  |  |   |
|----|--|---|
|    | investment in the long term and tick all the boxes for<br>safe travel in the area. Please please choose this<br>route!   |   |
| 51 | Saw a post on Facebook regarding this, saying<br>school bus would be withdrawn, if this option went<br>ahead. Apparently they had to fight really hard to<br>get those buses because the road was too<br>dangerous for children to walk to school It would<br>therefore be an absolute wate of money to go with<br>the other routes that use the road. Too dangerous<br>for the schoolchildren, too dangerous for everyone.                            | Noted. School transport would be<br>considered if an active travel route<br>was constructed.  |
| 52 | Assume this is a traffic free route although there is<br>an image of a car on section three<br>t could be feasible<br>Lighting this area would be intrusive and be light<br>pollution in this very natrual area<br>Removal of trees and bushes are damaging to<br>wildlife   | This route would be traffic free.<br>There would be ecology surveys<br>undertaken if this is the preferred<br>route option which would consider<br>lighting and impacts on the natural<br>environment.                      |
| 53 | We regularly cycle Ystradowen / Cowbridge and the<br>main A4222 doesn't feel safe with vehicles passing<br>far too close for comfort. The A4222 is not suitable<br>for youngsters to cycle on their way to school for<br>example.<br>Utilising the old railway line would be a perfect<br>solution as has been suggested many times in the<br>past - sadly I doubt the Councils resolve to see it<br>through though as so many issues to solve (sorry) | Noted. Thank you for your support.<br>Active Travel improvements across<br>the Vale are of high importance to<br>the Council and Welsh Government.  |
| 54 | As long as this project does not effect the children's<br>rights to a school bus to cowbridge comprehensive<br>as I live and know that the community of<br>ystradowen are very concerned as we feel the<br>safety of our children should not be jeopardised<br>because of a footpath you believe we need   | School transport would be considered if an active travel route was constructed.   |
| 55 | Probably the best of the three options but means<br>more digging up of the countryside and very little<br>detail given about lighting and potential light<br>pollution.<br>Also doesn't connect to Aberthin.   | Noted. Your comments will be<br>considered if this is the preferred<br>route option. Surveys would be<br>undertaken if this is the preferred<br>route option that would consider the<br>impacts on the natural environment. |

| 56 | 1, The so called 'Quiet Road' from behind town hall     | Noted. Your comments will be        |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|
|    | car park to under the flyover is at present a footpath  | considered if this is the preferred |
|    | with narrow bridge over the Thaw so land would          | route option.                       |
|    | need to be acquired and the change would effect         | Further surveys would be            |
|    | lives of occupiers of a number of house.                | undertaken on the route chosen for  |
|    | 2. Few of the trees mentioned in proposal are on        | the next stage of development.      |
|    | the old rail bed but turning this into a pathway/track  | Impacts on the natural environment  |
|    | will be detrimental to wildlife.                        | would be considered.                |
|    | 3. As mentioned in the proposal much of the track       |                                     |
|    | has been incorporated into adjoining fields and         |                                     |
|    | would need to be purchased, fences would be             |                                     |
|    | needed and cross overs would have to be provided        |                                     |
|    | to link land severed by track.                          |                                     |
|    | 4. The track would create additional poblems for        |                                     |
|    | farmers and their use of the land                       |                                     |
|    | 5. increased lighting along the route would be          |                                     |
|    | damaging to wildlife, create additional light pollution |                                     |
|    | and the demand for electricity.                         |                                     |
|    | 6. Crossing at road level at blocked in road bridge     |                                     |
|    | on side road to Llansannor etc would need to be         |                                     |
|    | placed some way from bridge to provide adequate         |                                     |
|    | visibility so path would run into adjoining fields to   |                                     |
|    | achieve this.   |                                     |
|    | 6. Doubt serious bikers would use the track.            |                                     |

# Atodiad C – sylwadau wedi'u cynnwys gydag Opsiwn Llwybr C (wedi'u cynnwys fel y cawsant eu derbyn)

|   | Comment received   | Council response   |
|---|--|--|
| 1 | Still a viable option as takes majority of the path<br>away from the road however the route is hilly which<br>may not be feasible for elderly or less mobile users   | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this route is developed.    |
| 2 | Any route which still allows car traffic in close<br>proximity to cyclists / pedestrians is unlikely to be<br>used as much as a truly traffic free route. Get it<br>right now and the route will be sustainable and used<br>for many years   | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this route is developed.    |
| 3 | Great for leisure walking - use already and<br>infrastructure is reasonable. It is a very rural and<br>hilly route - not sure would be considered as a<br>regular route to take by all when meeting the<br>objective of †increasing the number of active<br>journeys between Cowbridge and Ystradowen'<br>therefore would not consider as an †inclusive'<br>option.  | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this route is developed.    |
| 4 | Aberthin Road (section 3) is already narrow, making it smaller would cause accidents.  | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this route is developed.    |
| 5 | Some of this route is quite steep for cycling. It isn't a direct route which will put people off using it  | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this route is developed.    |
| 6 | Establishing a quiet lane would be a good idea if<br>HGVs are excluded from the road. Currently it is too<br>narrow in some places for safe passing of<br>pedestrians/cyclists/horse riders and vehicles.  | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this route is developed.    |
| 7 | While I can see some benefits to this route, I<br>believe it will just create more dangerous traffic<br>through Trerhyngyll village as the traffic that<br>currently uses the back lane will just divert through<br>the village creating a 'rat run'. We already suffer<br>from inconsiderate drivers and this will make the<br>situation worse, making the village dangerous for<br>children, walkers and cyclists.   | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this route is developed.    |
| 8 | Creating a 20mph speed limit would be a positive<br>move as the current road is national speed limit and<br>not safe for walkers/cyclists/horse riders, however,<br>the route is quite steep in places and is far from<br>being a direct route from Ystradowen to Cowbridge<br>which is likely to put off the less able and will end up<br>being underused. The introduction of lighting into<br>the rural environment is not welcomed. Isn't Wales<br>supposed to be one of top dark skies countries?<br>Parts of the existing road verges along the route are<br>designated conservation verges. | Noted. Your comments will be<br>considered if this route is developed. |

| 9  | This would be a route for when people are walking<br>for leisure, rather than trying to just get from A to B<br>quickly. I can't see schoolchildren using this route to<br>get to school - I suspect parents would drive them<br>to school, particularly if the existing school bus was<br>lost as a result of the implementation of the plan.   | Noted. Your comments will be<br>considered if this route is developed. |
|----|--|--|
| 10 | This could be a pleasant and safe route if made as<br>traffic free as possible (a bit like it was during the<br>first lockdown). Would need to be well-lit so that it is<br>safe to use in the winter months when the evenings<br>draw in.   | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this route is developed.    |
| 11 | Lanes are too narrow still and poor visibility for<br>traffic, cars/lorries/tractors/vans travel at speed<br>through lanes putting lives of pedestrians at risk-<br>particularly children  | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this route is developed.    |
| 12 | Also a good idea, plus nice to transit through village<br>but may not be as suitable if it adds too much extra<br>miles  | Noted. Thank you for your support.                                     |
| 13 | This route pretty much exists already. The main<br>reason it wouldn't be used is that it's not direct<br>enough, but also the narrow lanes and high hedges<br>make it undesirable to cycle though, particularly for<br>families with young children. Too much hedge<br>clearance and lighting would be required to create<br>this route which would be too detrimental to<br>biodiversity and landscape character of this area.  | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this route is developed.    |
| 14 | Option C Making a road which is used by many<br>rural villagers in the area CANNOT be an option.<br>How can you justify reducing access on a narrow<br>country road to to a wide area to the W which has<br>no public transport? This road is used by many<br>people including farmers who are responsible for<br>maintaining the environment in the area. How can<br>you encourage more bikes on a lane which is less<br>than 1.5 m wide and thus encourage illegal<br>overtaking and passing by vehicles which need this<br>road for access? Is partially closing rural lanes and<br>thus rural economy, to enable them to be used by<br>walkers a viable policy when the area is already<br>crossed by many footpaths and bridle paths? This<br>does not link existing communications. You suggest<br>there may be an improvement to train links in your<br>automated response. There are no train links in the<br>area and this suggests very superficial investigation<br>into the impact of such a change. | Noted. Your comments will be<br>considered if this route is developed. |
| 15 | In the most part, this is a narrow lane and would<br>therefore not be suitable/safe for a cycle/footpath. It<br>is also quite a busy road, with tractors and<br>agricultural machine using it frequently. There are<br>no transport links along this route either.   | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this route is developed.    |

|    | Another costly option, with the requirement of land purchase.   |   |
|----|---|---|
| 16 | Same as option A but with a loop through<br>Trerhyngyll. Too near main roads with buses and<br>large transportation vehicles.   | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on which<br>option to take forward to the next<br>stage. |
| 17 | This will not be used and an awful waste of money.  | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on which<br>option to take forward to the next<br>stage. |
| 18 | Agree with other comments here, the road past the<br>church in Ystradowen and down through Trehyngyll<br>is far too narrow. In the summer months when the<br>vegetation is dense, it is hard to find passing places<br>even for a car and a pedestrian. The cost (both<br>financial and environmental) of widening this road<br>would be so substantial. The suggestion to make<br>the road access only, makes little sense. How<br>would that be enforced? And there'd still be the<br>problem of two way traffic with little visibility around<br>bends. This does not seem to be a workable<br>solution in any form. | Noted. Your comments will be<br>considered if this route is developed.  |
| 19 | Concerned that this is a longer route. Road uses for<br>access needs clarification as to what this means for<br>communities of Trerhyngyll, Maendy and<br>Ystradowen, Concerns that making this route 20<br>mph will divert traffic to other roads increasing traffic<br>in Trerhyngyll which is a community with a<br>significant number of small children and potentially<br>Cowbridge which is also busy at several times of<br>day.   | Noted. Your comments will be<br>considered if this route is developed.  |
| 20 | Can do this route anyway.   | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on which<br>option to take forward to the next<br>stage. |
| 21 | This is a route which is used for Llansannor nursery<br>and school to get to school from aberthin. Steep<br>hills Prefer railway line route   | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on which<br>option to take forward to the next<br>stage. |
| 22 | I walk/cycle through the lanes of Trehyngyll now<br>and it's OK as an adult but it's not suitable for<br>school children to do with or without parents (under<br>12). This seems to be a lazy option and one that is<br>not going to bring about much change.   | Noted. This comment will form part of<br>the decision-making process on which<br>option to take forward to the next<br>stage. |

| 23 | Cyclists won't use as not a direct route. Also it  | Noted. This comment will form part of                               |
|----|--|---|
|    | may be a quieter road but will still be used for   | the decision-making process on which                                |
|    | access which can still be dangerous. I cycled there  | option to take forward to the next                                  |
|    | passed a tractor and it was very tight. I felt unsafe.   | stage.  |
|    | Please keep traffic and cycle separate where possible.   |   |
| 24 | I have cycled around here and although it will be a  | Noted. Your comments will be  |
|    | road for cyclists and access only, there will be no  | considered if this route is developed.                              |
|    | way to monitor traffic. I cycled in the narrow lane passed a tractor and it narrowly missed me. It was a |   |
|    | frightening experience and not a safe one for my   |   |
|    | children. Please do not consider this route. The   |   |
|    | Lane may be quieter but it is still not away from the  |   |
| 25 | traffic.   | Notod Vour comments will be   |
| 25 | This is completely unsuitable<br>Removal of hedgerows is damaging to the area and                        | Noted. Your comments will be considered if this route is developed. |
|    | wild life  |   |
|    | bad for the environment  |   |
|    | The present road is very narrow and twisty   |   |
|    | It used by agricultural vehicles<br>People use it to reach beyond Trerhyngyll to                         |   |
|    | LLanharry and further so limiting to access only is  |   |
|    | not sensible   |   |
|    | Lighting would be inappropriate in the middle of the   |   |
|    | countryside which is a natural and unspoil area of the Vale  |   |
|    | Narrowing of the road in front of the school is not  |   |
|    | feasible especially as there will be considerably  |   |
|    | more traffic with the badly planned primary school   |   |
|    | Serious cyclists will not use the cycle paths especially as they will be shared with pedestrians         |   |
|    | This will be an accident black spot  |   |
|    | The scheme will be detrimental to the open   |   |
|    | countyside and the people who live in Trehyngyll   |   |
|    | and beyond<br>Altogether this is a bad idea and I hope it will not be                                    |   |
|    | passed along with the other two options  |   |
|    |  |   |
|    | farm vehicles use this lane  |   |
| 26 | Impact on people who use the lanes to get to   | Noted. Your comments will be  |
|    | Llansannor Primary school or Trerhyngyll is not  | considered if this route is developed.                              |
|    | mentioned at all.  |   |

| <ul> <li>1.The clearance of 2km of hedges and walls would be an atrocious decision and be detrimental to wildlife and the rural nature of the area.</li> <li>The so called 'Quiet Road' from behind town hall car park to under the flyover is at present a footpath with narrow bridge over the Thaw so land would need to be acquired and the change would effect lives of occupiers of a number of house.</li> <li>From the by pass to the A4222 the route is a track heavily used by school children and others on foot, cycle and car and parts of it would not be wide enough to allow for safe passage of pedestrians, cyclists and motor traffic in one direction let alone two.</li> <li>The A4222 is used by buses, lorries and agricutural vehicles and also as it is likely that most if not all serious road cyclists will not use the pathway the width of the road shoulkd not be reduced.</li> <li>Mention is made of the narrow section at Maindy but not in Aberthin by the Farmer's Arms where the pavement is even narrower and on a dangerous bend.</li> <li>Increased lighting along the route would be damaging to wildlife, create additional light pollution and the demand for electricity.</li> <li>The road running from the A4222 about quarter of a mile to the north of Aberthin which in parts is very narrow is much used by agricultural and other traffic.</li> <li>This road is used for journeys to and from Llansannor, Penllyn, Llanharry etc and beyond. Converting this into a 'quiet lane' for access to properties on it only would greatly impact on those living in areas on both sides of it.</li> <li>It is unlikely that serious road cyclists will use the track as they understandably prefer the highway wthout the problems and delays created by crossovers and crossings</li> </ul> | Repeat of comment for Route Option A. |
|---|---------------------------------------|
|---|---------------------------------------|

## Atodiad D

# Beth yn eich barn chi yw'r rhwystrau presennol i gerdded a beicio yn yr ardal hon?

| 1  | There is a section of road between Ystradowen and Cowbridge with no footpath at all so it's very dangerous for school children and others to get   |
|----|--|
| -  | to school and shops etc  |
| 2  | I tend not to cycle anymore due to the speed at which drivers will overtake<br>when travelling between Ystradowen - Cowbridge. I did not feel safe on the<br>road when I cycled to work and back. Walking feels safer but the pavement is<br>too narrow in some places, and where you have to cross to continue on<br>pavement can often be dangerous. |
| 3  | Lack of safe cycle route from Cowbridge to Ystradowen and beyond, to Pontyclun.  |
| 4  | Unsafe route from Ystradowen to Cowbridge  |
| 5  | No traffic feee route for kids. Unsafe main road - only experienced cyclists can cycle along it currently. Lanes are also unsafe so route c is not viable.   |
| 6  | Finding safe routes/paths for my children. An off road cycle path for my children would be a huge asset.   |
| 7  | Lanes are narrow & not suitable for walking or cycling. This route would put cowbridge on an easy access route for both and would be used by us.   |
| 8  | None if you are fit and active and confident on a bike. However for children<br>and more vulnerable people, provision is almost 0. This is why a quiet, safe<br>route along the railway line would be by far the best option   |
| 9  | privately owned land. Enough lighting and bins where possible  |
| 10 | Poor infrastructure  |
| 11 | speed of motorised traffic, sharing the road with HGVs and large coaches<br>often moving at high speed. Impatience of drivers. Even walking on the<br>pavement feels intimidating because of the volume and speed of traffic and<br>narrowness/overgrown state of the pavements. The 20mph section in Aberthin<br>is an improvement but is not enough. |
| 12 | Narrow road, pavement where provided is too narrow as well as being overgrown and poorly maintained.   |
| 13 | No real safe route for either walkers or cyclists.   |
| 14 | Busy main road so not safe with kids. Lack of Criccieth points in aberthin.  |
| 15 | Road safety  |
| 16 | The hilly nature of the area and the lack of off-road cycle routes. This addresses both of those. It is relatively flat and properly off-road which makes it safer for children.   |
| 17 | Busy roads. Hills. Weather.  |
| 18 | Traffic  |

| 19 | Leisure walking and cycling is already good. The focus here is on trying to get<br>people to walk or cycle instead of driving when they need to go somewhere. In<br>our house, the lack of continuous pavement is the main barrier.  |
|----|--|
| 20 | Maintenance of footpaths, clarity of signposting, safety of walkways along roads, and maintenance of pavements so there are no trip hazards  |
| 21 | Roads are narrow with no verges in parts, footpaths are poor or non existent, traffic density and speed are too high, there are too few safe passing places.   |
| 22 | Safety. Volume of traffic and excessive speed of traffic on the A4222. Tractors<br>and lorries including HGVs frequently use these routes.<br>Lack of lighting.<br>Pavements to Cowbridge are in a poor condition. There is no continuous<br>route. Hedgerows are not cut back.  |
| 23 | The current pavement is intermittent, too narrow, has a shockingly poor surface, and crosses the carriageway. An altogether dangerous route currently!   |
| 24 | No safe direct route to the school.  |
| 25 | Time, plus if shopping (90% of my trips into Cowbridge) I wouldn't want to carry this a mile from Cowbridge to Aberthin. I walk/cycle for leisure purposes only.   |
| 26 | Width & Condition Of The Existing Footway  |
| 27 | Fast traffic   |
| 28 | Width of roads, blind bends, horses, mixed vehicular usage   |
| 29 | Not enough safe cycle routes   |
| 30 | l'm not sure there are barriers as such. You just walk where you can.<br>Improvement to the walking/cycling amenities are always welcome.  |
| 31 | Roads too busy and often traffic too fast  |
| 32 | Lack of dedicated signed routes  |
| 33 | We cycle regularly with pre-school kids on the back of our bikes. Vehicles regularly overtake us too closely and endanger other road users by overtaking in dangerous places. We are very conscious that we will have to stop cycling when our kids are large enough to have their own bikes, unless a safer route is implemented. The current route can feel dangerous even for experienced adults, especially in difficult weather conditions. |
| 34 | Traffic  |
| 35 | The utterly crazy use of heavy vehicles on the B4270. There have already been two overturned lorries and multiple near misses. I no longer use this road but take long detours via Llysworney and the Sigginstone lane.  |
|    | Otherwise the need to use some of the B roads with heavy use   |
| 36 | The busy road between Aberthin and Cowbridge is the main thing that stops me cycling   |
| 37 | Road Safety - lack of continuous safe pavement; lack of safe crossing places;<br>speeding traffic; high volume of HGVs using route<br>Traffic pollution - inhaling traffic fumes   |
| 38 | The lack of pavement at the Watery Lane junction. Having to cross road from one side to other to rejoin footpath.  |

| 39 | Lack of pavement between Aberthin and Ystradowen, narrow, winding road with poor visibility, high traffic use on this road, particularly at start/end of school day and also morning/evening end=of-working day.  |
|----|---|
| 40 | The pavement is discontinuous in multiple locations and involves crossing the road to access the next section. This is completely unsafe for children.  |
| 41 | Traffic - volume and speed. No footpath in certain sections of the Maendy bit<br>of road. You have to cross the road too many times to use a footpath.<br>Biggest barrier is laziness though - parents spend too much time ferrying their<br>children to and from school instead of making them walk with their friends<br>getting exercise and learning social/road safety skills. |
| 42 | I would love to cycle more often than I do but safety is always the reason I hesitate. We cycle early on Sunday mornings as a family of young children to try to avoid heavy traffic but I would love to cycle at any time in the week or weekend which is why rout B is perfect.   |
| 43 | Danger on road  |
| 44 | No safe paths away from roads   |
| 45 | A lot of traffic will put people off cycling especially with young children.  |
| 46 | The main road. For most people, being on the road (or beside it) makes them extremely nervous. A route which keeps cars and cyclists apart is hugely beneficial.  |
| 47 | lack of safe crossing on main road into Cowbridge   |
| 48 | Lack of continuous pavement on main road and having to cross on blind bend.<br>Amount of vehicles now using the lanes.<br>Available time to walk 3 miles to Cowbridge and back.<br>Personally I don't enjoy cycling.  |

# Atodiad D

# Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau neu awgrymiadau eraill, nodwch nhw isod

|   | Comment received  | Council response   |
|---|---|--|
| 1 | What about horse riders…?   | The Council share all consultation with the British Horse Riding Society for comment.  |
| 2 | This is desperately needed - please make it happen  | Thank you for your support.  |
| 3 | If it is considered problematic to engage private<br>landowners and costly to develop the railway line<br>option. Just open to the community to get<br>involved in helping. There would be such a<br>groundswell of support (and volunteers!) l'm<br>sure this would not be as expensive as the<br>projected cost. Email me, l'II help  | Thank you for your support and we will<br>reach out to the community if the scheme<br>develops. Please feel free to email<br><u>activetravel@valeofglamorgan.gov.uk</u> at<br>any time.  |
| 4 | If this proposal were to go ahead there would<br>need to be provision of good lighting to ensure<br>people feel safe, clear and flat paths wide enough<br>for cyclists and walkers, litter bins so that people<br>can dispose of litter and dog poo and finally<br>considerate of the biodiversity of the area (re-<br>plant hedges etc) and ensure that the path is not<br>a hinderance to residents who may be on the<br>route.   | Your comments will be considered<br>throughout the development of this<br>scheme.  |
| 5 | Need to address how aberthin residents can<br>easily access this active travel route, especially<br>crossing the busy main road which is one of the<br>issues with the current arrangement  | Noted.<br>Your comments will be considered<br>throughout the development of this<br>scheme.  |
| 6 | I suspect that whichever plan is progressed, it's<br>not going to see an increase in use by<br>schoolchildren. Ystradowen is still 3 miles away<br>and for many that will be perceived as too far to<br>walk or cycle to school. If the existing school bus<br>service from Ystradowen to Cowbridge Comp is<br>withdrawn as a result of implementing one of the<br>schemes, if anything the number of cars on the<br>road will increase as parents drive their children<br>(who currently go by bus) to school. | The Council has a statutory duty to<br>provide free school transport for pupils of<br>statutory school age who reside beyond<br>walking distance to the nearest<br>appropriate school.<br>Primary age pupils residing two miles or<br>over from their nearest designated<br>catchment area school of type<br>Secondary age pupils residing three miles<br>or over from their nearest designated<br>catchment area school of type<br>Distances are measured by the shortest<br>available walking route and pupils will<br>need to be accompanied by a responsible<br>adult if necessary.<br>Any decision on school transport will be<br>taken if and when an active travel route is<br>constructed. |

| 7  | The existing public footpath network in the area is<br>deteriorating through lack of maintenance.<br>Numerous stiles are in a dilapidated condition<br>and some frankly dangerous to use. Others are<br>so overgrown as to be impassable preventing<br>access to the footpath beyond. Before spending<br>large sums on new projects a little focus on what<br>we already have might be appropriate.   | Your comments will be forwarded to the Public Rights of Way Team.  |
|----|---|--|
| 8  | There is considerable concern that any route<br>would lead to the removal of the school bus to<br>Cowbridge Comprehensive School. It would be a<br>shame to have an active travel route but lose the<br>school bus which is highly valued by children and<br>parents alike and reduces traffic at the school at<br>peaks times. The reality is that if the bus is<br>cancelled, parents are highly likely to still want to<br>drive their children to school even if there is an<br>active travel route. This would lead to more traffic<br>and congestion at the school. | The Council has a statutory duty to<br>provide free school transport for pupils of<br>statutory school age who reside beyond<br>walking distance to the nearest<br>appropriate school.<br>Primary age pupils residing two miles or<br>over from their nearest designated<br>catchment area school of type<br>Secondary age pupils residing three miles<br>or over from their nearest designated<br>catchment area school of type<br>Distances are measured by the shortest<br>available walking route and pupils will<br>need to be accompanied by a responsible<br>adult if necessary.<br>Any decision on school transport will be<br>taken if and when an active travel route is<br>constructed. |
| 9  | Implementing a safe route between Ystradowen<br>and Cowbridge would be so impactful. Enabling<br>users to get to work, nursery and several schools.<br>It would give people in a rural community a viable<br>option for active travel, reducing car journeys and<br>improving mental and physical health. Please,<br>please can the VOG be ambitious with this one,<br>reaching out to this community if necessary to<br>help fundraise/volunteer.  | Thank you for your support. The scheme development will be updated on our website and you are welcome to contact <u>activetravel@valeofglamorgan.gov.uk</u> at any time for an update.   |
| 10 | I think the B option is a super idea and could<br>connect up with other cycle routes. With the<br>increasing use of e-bikes it would take vulnerable<br>cyclists off the road and speed up traffic. It would<br>probably be the most expensive but is much the<br>best scheme.  | Thank you for your support.  |

| 11 | It seems to me that the cheapest and most<br>beneficial improvements could be a compromise<br>between options A and C. Providing pavement<br>continuously between Maendy and Aberthin<br>would be the single biggest improvement and<br>requires only a relatively small section of<br>pavement. Thus would be my priority. Beyond<br>this making the lanes 20 mph would discourage<br>through traffic from using and make them more<br>suitable for walking, cycling, running and riding.<br>Th iswould require speed limits to be applied to<br>all or most lanes as otherwise it will simply divert<br>traffic from one lane to another. The combination<br>of these would probably be the most cost | Noted. Your comments will be<br>considered at the next stage of design.                                 |
|----|---|---|
| 12 | effective option.<br>For climate change alone councils should be<br>working towards facilitating children walking and<br>cycling to school. This seems absent from<br>planning schools in Cowbridge. The new primary<br>school on the Aberthin Road site will increase the  | Noted. Should this scheme develop then children will be able to cycle and walk to schools in Cowbridge. |
| 13 | I love route B and would cycle more regularly off<br>the main road as the Aberthin road is so narrow<br>and dangerous. Although the speed limit is 30 by<br>the comp, cars often race down that road.   | Noted. Thank you for your comment.  |
| 14 | This road is getting increasingly busy and traffic<br>calming in Aberthin has improved things but<br>further work is required to reduce the speed of<br>the drivers. Would like to see average speed<br>cameras between the Llantrisant side of<br>Ystradowen and all the way to Cowbridge. It is<br>sometimes like a race track, especially with the<br>shift workers from the Aston Martin factory in St<br>Athan. Having these cameras in place would<br>really improve the safety for all motorists,<br>pedestrians crossing the road in Aberthin and<br>cyclists alike.  | We will share your comment with the Traffic Team.   |
| 15 | Cowbridge for years has suffered (relativley)<br>against other areas locally for investment in<br>health and well-being and getting people active. A<br>route along the road would only be of benefit for<br>encouraging a bit more commuting. A route along<br>the old railway line would encourage far more<br>people to use in their leisure time, and could be<br>used for setting up further community projects like<br>a park run.  | The aim of each of these options is to<br>encourage more people to travel actively<br>for all purposes. |
| 16 | Just hope you can get on with this and that we don't have to wait for years to see action   | Development of this scheme depends on continued funding.  |

| 17 | I think it is naive to expect a reduction in road use<br>if this is built. I can see it being popular for | The Council engage with a variety of stakeholders, including cycling clubs and |
|----|---|--|
|    | recreational use but it would not change how I  | Sustrans Cymru, during scheme  |
|    | travel to Cowbridge (by car) for general reasons  | development.   |
|    | (food shopping for example). Also, being realistic,   |  |
|    | the welsh climate is not conducive to daily   |  |
|    | walking or cycling for non-recreational purposes. I   |  |
|    | also wouldn't feel comfortable with my daughter   |  |
|    | walking or cycling alone from school particularly   |  |
|    | in the winter and especially on a remote route  |  |
|    | such as Route B or C. Finally, I really hope you consult with cycling groups and put in cycle paths       |  |
|    | that work for them and are not like the ones in   |  |
|    | Barry where the cyclists use the narrowed roads   |  |
|    | to avoid the stop start cycle paths or the slower   |  |
|    | cyclists and pedestrians.   |  |