Agenda Item No. 5

Question No. 1

THE VALE OF GLAMORGAN COUNCIL

QUESTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL AGREED BY COUNCIL ON 5TH MAY, 2010

QUESTION FROM: M. WALLIS

TO: THE CABINET MEMBER FOR COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, EQUALITIES AND REGULATORY SERVICES

REPLACEMENT LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (RLDP) INQUIRY

Will the Cabinet assess the risks and costs of taking this amended Draft RLDP to public inquiry, when the RLDP team deny that Policies for rural areas in Future Wales (Policy 4 and 5) are relevant and state the entire Vale is in the SE Wales National Growth area under Policies 1 and 33, so the Inspector could well rule the RLDP is out of order and abandon the inquiry?

Question No. 2

THE VALE OF GLAMORGAN COUNCIL

QUESTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL AGREED BY COUNCIL ON 5TH MAY, 2010

QUESTION FROM: E. REED

TO: THE CABINET MEMBER FOR COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, EQUALITIES AND REGULATORY SERVICES

REPLACEMENT LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (RLDP)

Rhoose has been waiting for an additional train per hour for nearly 10 years. The Council are allocating significant additional residential development in Rhoose in its RDLP which is before you this evening. Previously the RDLP and also Council have advised that an additional train service for Rhoose and Llantwit Major will commence in late 2026/early 2027. Can the Cabinet member advise when this additional train service is now expected and confirm the RDLP before you tonight will reflect this timescale as well as whether it will be an additional train service all day or at specific times?

Question No. 3

THE VALE OF GLAMORGAN COUNCIL

QUESTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL AGREED BY COUNCIL ON 5TH MAY, 2010

QUESTION FROM: E. REED

TO: THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR SUSTAINABLE PLACES

BUS SERVICES

Following additional Council tax being raised this year for new bus services, can the Cabinet member advise when a new bus service linking Rhoose to Cowbridge will commence?

Question No. 4

THE VALE OF GLAMORGAN COUNCIL

QUESTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL AGREED BY COUNCIL ON 5TH MAY, 2010

QUESTION FROM: E. REED

TO: THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR SUSTAINABLE PLACES

PLACE PLANS

Main towns in the Vale of Glamorgan have had Place Plans prepared with the assistance of the Council and relevant town councils. This is recognised by the RDLP that is before you this evening.

Rhoose does not have a Town or Community Council and as such needs more help to prepare such a Plan to ensure integration of any new developments into the village with much needed new infrastructure.

The Council appears to not want to help, but rather to say it is up to the community, when a huge number of houses is being proposed by the Council for Rhoose (some 10 percent of the RDLPS housing allocations not counting windfalls etc.), without the necessary supporting infrastructure.

Can the Cabinet advise why there are no plans to prepare a Place Plan for Rhoose, especially when Welsh Government Guidance places a great emphasis on such work?

Does the Cabinet Member support the Officers approach of not helping Rhoose to prepare a Place Plan in advance of any further housing development?

Question No. 5

THE VALE OF GLAMORGAN COUNCIL

QUESTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL AGREED BY COUNCIL ON 5TH MAY, 2010

QUESTION FROM: E. REED

TO: THE CABINET MEMBER FOR COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, EQUALITIES AND REGULATORY SERVICES

CONSULTATION ON THE REPLACEMENT LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN (RLDP)

It appears that the responses to the consultation on the RLDP are being rushed through the formal Scrutiny Committee, Cabinet and Council. The Council's Scrutiny Committee considered the RDLP responses at its meeting of 17th November 2026 then the matter went to Cabinet less than 48 hours later with it now being before Council today. For a Plan that takes years to complete to then rush a massive complex document though the formal processes in around 2 weeks seems like a total disregard for all the people and organisations who have made an effort to try to influence what effectively is their Plan and future. Can the Cabinet Member advise why this important matter has been rushed at the final stages to Council?

Question No. 6

THE VALE OF GLAMORGAN COUNCIL

QUESTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL AGREED BY COUNCIL ON 5TH MAY, 2010

QUESTION FROM: C. GEORGE

TO: THE DEPUTY LEADER AND CABINET MEMBER FOR SUSTAINABLE PLACES

WILKO SITE

As the Council and its newly appointed architectural consultants progress the business case for the former Wilko site on Holton Road, a clear gap remains regarding the infrastructure allocated for the upper floor. Research into the needs of lens-based artists and historical archivists within the Vale highlights a complete absence of shared community chemical darkroom facilities for traditional analogue and large-format printing. Integrating a small, open-access darkroom on the upper floor would preserve local heritage archiving, foster educational partnerships, and create sustainable community revenue—supported by direct advisory input from local creative specialists regarding plumbing and extraction footprints.

Will the Cabinet Member for Sustainable Places commit to instructing the project's design consultants to evaluate the structural and financial feasibility of incorporating a shared, community-accessible chemical darkroom and heritage archive space within the upper-floor layout options for the former Wilko redevelopment?

Question No. 7

THE VALE OF GLAMORGAN COUNCIL

QUESTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROTOCOL AGREED BY COUNCIL ON 5TH MAY, 2010

QUESTION FROM: E. REED

TO: THE CABINET MEMBER FOR NEIGHBOURHOOD AND BUILDING SERVICES

ROAD SURFACE ACCESS AT WEYCOCK CROSS

At the Place Committee on Tuesday 17th November which scrutinised the RLDP a question was asked regarding correcting funding available to improve the road surface access at Weycock Cross. As no transport engineer was present at the meeting no answer was given. Please can the Cabinet member responsible for Transport advise how much money is available to improve surface access at Weycock Cross and confirm when this money needs to be spent by?